



W.P.No.7615 of 2022
and W.P.(MD) No.16658 of 2020


W.P.No.7615 of 2022
and W.P.(MD) No.16658 of 2020

WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

(Order of the Court was made by the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner in W.P.No.7615 of 2022 has referred to certain paragraphs of the judgment of the Apex Court in the case of ***State, through Superintendent of Police, CBI/SIT v. Nalini and others, (1999) 5 SCC 253*** to show the acquittal of the petitioner S.Nalini from the offence under the Terrorist and Disruptive Activities (Prevention) Act, 1987 and it is stated that the table/schedule referred by the Apex Court in its judgment is not correct. Rather, it is in conflict with the judgment of the appellate court and for that he read certain paragraphs. We cannot draw an inference that the table/schedule given by the Apex Court in the judgment to be incorrect, unless the original judgment of the trial Court is looked into.



W.P.No.7615 of 2022
and W.P.(MD) No.16658 of 2020


WEB COPY

2. In view of the above, we direct the Registry to call for the original judgment of the trial Court or digitized copy from the Digitization Centre of this Court in the case of *Rajiv Gandhi Assassination* and place on record when the matter next appears.

List on 06.06.2022.

(M.N.B., CJ) (D.B.C.,J.)
26.04.2022

bbr



WEB COPY



W.P.No.7615 of 2022
and W.P.(MD) No.16658 of 2020

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

bbr

W.P.No.7615 of 2022
and W.P.(MD) No.16658 of 2020

26.04.2022